Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/815,790	KANAMARU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher RoDee	1756
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>AF</u>	
(1) <u>Christopher RoDee</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Johnn Bailey</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>18 April 2005</u>	Time: <u>N/A</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	icant's representative)	`
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	IERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	The examiner will provide a writte e record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
AFD-		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	ant/Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted counsel and discussed the preliminary amendment to the specification. Although applicants may incorporate the previously filed US application into the specification, they may not incorporate the foreign priority document because the document was not previously incorporated in the parent application. The Examiner suggested an amendment to remove the incoporation to the foreign priority document. Counsel gave permission for this formal amendment, raised for the first time after Final..